

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
10/762,609	01/22/2004		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Joseph Szwarc	P05871US01	5042	
22885 759	.00/12/2004				
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
801 GRAND AV	ENUE	i.L.C.	EASTHOM, KARL D		
SUITE 3200 DES MOINES,	IA 1 50300 2721		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
- 25 MONVES,	IA 30309-2721	•	2832		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/762,609	SZWARC ET AL.
dininary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE - 541	Karl D Easthorn	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we raillure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	·()-	
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		*
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acceptation acc	oted or b) objected to by awing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	and and and a	mice Action of form P10-152.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign properties a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have a Certified copies of the priority documents have a Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (If * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the cert	nave been received. nave been received in Appl documents have been received.	ication No eived in this National Stage
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	√ \	
) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/22/4.	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) nil Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office	-,	

Art Unit: 2832

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed 1/22/4 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The last item titled "New Z-Based ...Performance" is not in the image file. Applicant should (re)submit a copy in response to this action when a full response is completed.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "about" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Further, when as in this case, the claims are close to the prior art, where "about" is a relative term, MPEP-2173:05 (b) states "wherein the improvement over the prior art rests entirely upon size or weight of an element in a combination of elements, the adequacy of the disclosure of a standard is of greater criticality", and it has been held that such terms of degree must be more clearly defined. See MPEP 2173.05(b), citing Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., 927 F.2d 1200, 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed.Cir. 1991). It is simply not clear where applicant's claimed invention is distinct over the prior art as noted above.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2832

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Zandman '413. Under the 102 alternative, Zandman discloses the claimed invention at Figs. 5-6, where the substrate is alumina having the claimed thickness at col. 5, lines 40-45 (4-40mils), the resistive film TCR is zero at one point at Fig. 2 or at Fig. 5, (and thus about 1 at some lower temperatures at least), the thickness is in the claimed rage of 30-300 micro inches at col. 5, lines 1-10 (.03-3 mils) cemented by 4 to the substrate, and all selected to produce a reduction of resistance change at the bottom of col. 1, for example. Note that at Fig. 6, the overall TCR is close to zero or "about 0.1" for example where "about" is a broad term.—For claims 2-6, all the parameters are altered to reduce the resistance as noted at col. 1. For example, for claims 2-3, substrates and foils are selected at the top of col. 5, while the foil thickness is selected as noted above. For claim 6, the resistor or a resistor is etched and selected to reduce the TCR as noted at col. 6, lines 1-50. The pattern will reduce bending as compared to a case where the TCR of the resistors are not selected, where bending is reduced at col. 5, lines 45-65. In claims 7-9, cement is chosen at col. 5, lines 44-47, while the thickness is "selected" since there is some thickness in the end. That is, how certain parameters are selected are not germane to the product claim where in the end all claimed elements are present.

Art Unit: 2832

Note that applicant employs alumina in his specification, and that appears to be the only substrate material disclosed, so that it inherently has the claimed modulus of elasticity. Also it is "about" the claimed modulus, where the term is broad an undefined. Under the 103 alternative, where alumina lacks the claimed modulus, or where for example certain aspects are not chosen as claimed such as the thickness, or cement type, and such a mental step for a product claim is required, it would have been obvious to select such a modulus, or other parameter, to reduce the TCR where col. 5,l lines 5-30 discloses choosing a substrate to minimize the TCR and where a compensating substrate is chosen based upon its thickness, modulus of elasticity and coefficient of thermal expansion, see col. 5, lines 45-79.

- 6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zandman et al. '413 in view of Witt et al. Zandman discloses, as noted above, the claimed invention as noted above except for foils on both sides of the substrate. Witt discloses resistors on both sides of he substrate in order to minimize bending so as to handle more power. Zandmann discloses putting structures on the opposite side of the substrate in order to minimize bending in order to handle power also, see col. 2, lines 2-20, col. 5, lines 46-67, so that placing another equal resistor type on the other side would have been obvious.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Easthom whose telephone number is (571) 272-1989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2832

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Easthom Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832

KDE